UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS, EASTERN DIVISION

SHANNON O'BRIEN,	
Plaintiff,)))
) No.: 24-cv-00605
V.) Hon. Sharon Johnson Coleman
FRONTIER AIRLINES, INC., d/b/a)
FRONTIER AIRLINES,	
Defendants.)
Defendants.	,)

JOINT STATUS REPORT

NOW COMES Plaintiff, SHANNON O'BRIEN, and Defendant FRONTIER AIRLINES, INC. ("Frontier"), by and through counsel, and for their Joint Status Report pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) and this Court's Order, state as follows:

1. NATURE OF THE CASE

a. Attorneys of Record for Each Party and Lead Trial Attorney:

For Plaintiff:

Lance D. Northcutt (Lead Trial Attorney)
Emily T. Art (following admission to the NDIL)
Salvi, Schostok & Pritchard, P.C.
161 N. Clark Street, Suite 4700
Chicago, IL 60601
Phone: (312) 372-1227

Fax: (312) 372-1227
Fax: (312) 372-3720
Inorthcutt@salvilaw.com
eart@salvilaw.com

For Defendant:

Brian T. Maye (Lead Trial Attorney) Kurt C. Schlueter Brittany N. Christianson HINSHAW & CULBERTSON LLP
151 North Franklin Street, Suite 2500
Chicago, IL 60606
312-704-3000
312-704-3001 (fax)
bmaye@hinshawlaw.com
kschlueter@hinshawlaw.com
bchristianson@hinshawlaw.com

b. Nature of the Claims and Any Counterclaims:

Plaintiff: This One-Count Complaint against Defendant alleges Defendant was negligent in the transportation, handling, locating, and returning of Plaintiff's mechanized wheelchair after a flight from Punta Cana, Dominican Republic to Chicago, Illinois. More specifically, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant had a duty of care with regard to monitoring, keeping track, locating and returning Plaintiff's mechanized wheelchair and comport with the standard of care established by the Air Carrier Access Act with respect to assisting disabled passengers. Plaintiff further alleges that Defendant breached such duty and the breach of said duty was the sole and proximate cause of Plaintiff's pain, suffering, emotional distress, and loss of a normal life.

Plaintiff anticipates seeking leave to file an amended complaint to expand the scope of the current complaint and to seek punitive damages.

Frontier: Plaintiff was traveling on Frontier flight 81 from Punta Cana, Dominican Republic (PUJ) to Chicago, Illinois (ORD), which resulted in a diversion and unscheduled landing at Orlando International Airport (MCO) due to a mechanical issue resulting in the loss of hydraulic fluid, thereby preventing the opening of the cargo door, making it impossible to retrieve passenger baggage, including Plaintiff's wheelchair.

Frontier denies all material allegations of liability against it, and asserts affirmative defenses including, but not limited to:

- 1) Plaintiff's damages, if any, were caused by the acts or omissions of other persons or entities for which Frontier is not liable nor responsible;
- 2) Plaintiff's claims may be limited, barred, and/or preempted by federal law, international law, and/or international conventions;
- 3) Plaintiff is not entitled to recover any punitive damages under applicable law, or in the alternative, Plaintiff has not alleged and/or cannot establish tortious acts by Frontier (or any of is employees over whom it had control) involving malice, fraud, insult, or wanton, reckless, and deliberate disregard for the rights or safety of Plaintiff sufficient for an award of punitive damages.

c. Major Legal and Factual Issues in the Case:

i. The major legal issues in the case include:

- Whether Defendant's conduct was negligent;
- Whether Plaintiff's claims are preempted, either partially or wholly, by federal law, international law, and/or international conventions;
- Whether Defendant complied with the applicable standard of care;
- Whether Defendant's actions were the proximate case of Plaintiff's injuries;

ii. The major factual issues in the case include:

- How and why there was a delay in the delivery of Plaintiff's mechanized wheelchair;
- Whether third parties were responsible for the acts of which Plaintiff complains and, if so, to what extent;
- Whether Defendant followed its internal policy and procedures regarding the transportation of mechanized wheelchairs.

d. Relief Sought:

Plaintiff seeks monetary recovery for non-economic damages and (pursuant to an amended pleading) will also seek the imposition of punitive damages. These non-economic damages include the pain, suffering, emotional distress, and loss of a normal life experienced by Plaintiff.

e. General Status of the Case:

This case is in its preliminary stages. Plaintiff originally filed her Complaint and Defendant filed its Answer in the Circuit Court of Cook County. This case was removed on January 23, 2024. Frontier served its Initial Disclosures and propounded its first set of written discovery on April 10, 2024.

2. <u>PENDING MOTIONS</u>

a.]	LC	leni	tify	ľ	en	ding	g IV	loti	ions:	•

None.

b. Previously Set Deadlines:

None.

3. PROPOSED DISCOVERY SCHEDULE

a. General Type of Discovery

- Written discovery, including interrogatories and request for production of documents;
- Depositions;
- Request to admit; and
- Expert discovery.

b. Mandatory Initial Discovery Project

N/A

c. Proposed Discovery Schedule

Plaintiff's Proposed Discovery Schedule:

Rule 26(a) Disclosures: May 3, 2024 Written Discovery to be Issued: May 10, 2024 Written Discovery to be Answered: June 10, 2024 Completion of Fact Witnesses: August 30, 2024 Disclosure of Experts: September 27, 2024 All Discovery Completed By: November 29, 2024 Dispositive Motion Deadline: December 13, 2024 Trial: March 3, 2025

Defendant's Proposed Discovery Schedule:

Rule 26(a) Disclosures:

Written Discovery to be Issued:

Deadline to Complete Fact Depositions:

Disclosure of Experts:

All Discovery Completed By:

Dispositive Motion Deadline:

Trial:

May 3, 2024

May 10, 2024

October 31, 2024

November 30, 2024

January 31, 2024

February 28, 2024

May 12, 2025

4. TRIAL

a. Whether a Jury Trial is Requested

Both parties request a jury trial.

b. Probable Length of Trial

The parties anticipate the trial will last approximately 7 days.

5. <u>STATUS OF SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS</u>

a. Status of Settlement Discussions

Preliminary discussions have taken place, but have proven unfruitful.

b. Settlement Conference

The plaintiff is not requesting a settlement conference at this time. Frontier is amenable to an early settlement conference.

c. Arbitration or Mediation

The plaintiff is opposed to arbitration or mediation. Frontier is amenable to mediation.

6. <u>CONSENT TO PROCEED BEFORE A MAGISTRATE JUDGE</u>

a. Magistrate Judge

The parties do not agree to consent to having this case heard before a magistrate judge.

Dated: April 26, 2024 Respectfully submitted,

SALVI, SCHOSTOK & PRITCHARD P.C.

By: /s/ Lance Northcutt

One of the Attorneys for Plaintiff

HINSHAW & CULBERTSON LLP

By: <u>/s/ Brian T. Maye</u>
One of the Attorneys for Defendant

Lance D. Northcutt (ARDC# 6278144)

Emily T. Art (ARDC# 6345559)

SALVI, SCHOSTOK & PRITCHARD P.C.

161 N. Clark St., Suite 4700

Chicago, IL 60601

Phone: (312) 372-1227 Fax: (312) 372-3720

$\frac{lnorthcutt@salvilaw.com}{eart@salvilaw.com}$

Brian T. Maye (Lead Trial Attorney)
Kurt C. Schlueter
Brittany N. Christianson
HINSHAW & CULBERTSON LLP
151 North Franklin Street, Suite 2500
Chicago, IL 60606
312-704-3000
312-704-3001 (fax)
bmaye@hinshawlaw.com
kschlueter@hinshawlaw.com
bchristianson@hinshawlaw.com